Lecture 1

Weighted automata basic definitions

Finite automata

Definition

A finite automaton is $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$, where:

- Q is a finite set of states
- Σ is a finite alphabet
- $T \subseteq Q \times \Sigma \times Q$ is a finite set of transitions
- $I, F \subseteq Q$ are the sets of initial and final states

Finite automata

Definition

A finite automaton is $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$, where:

- Q is a finite set of states
- Σ is a finite alphabet
- $T \subseteq Q \times \Sigma \times Q$ is a finite set of transitions
- $I, F \subseteq Q$ are the sets of initial and final states

Example:

• $Q = \{p, q\}$



- $T = \{(p, a, p), (p, b, p), (p, b, q), (q, b, q)\}$
- $I = \{p\}, F = \{q\}$



Let $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$

A run of \mathcal{A} on $w = a_1 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^*$ is $\rho = t_1 \dots t_n$, where:

•
$$t_i = (p_i, a_i, q_i) \in T$$

•
$$q_{i-1} = p_i$$
 for all $i = 2, ..., n$

Let $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$

A run of \mathcal{A} on $w = a_1 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^*$ is $\rho = t_1 \dots t_n$, where:

- $t_i = (p_i, a_i, q_i) \in T$
- $q_{i-1} = p_i$ for all i = 2, ..., n

It is an accepting run if

• $p_0 \in I$, $q_n \in F$

Let $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$

A run of \mathcal{A} on $w = a_1 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^*$ is $\rho = t_1 \dots t_n$, where:

- $t_i = (p_i, a_i, q_i) \in T$
- $q_{i-1} = p_i$ for all i = 2, ..., n

It is an accepting run if

• $p_0 \in I$, $q_n \in F$

The set of all runs of \mathcal{A} on w is R_w

Let $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$

A run of \mathcal{A} on $w = a_1 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^*$ is $\rho = t_1 \dots t_n$, where:

- $t_i = (p_i, a_i, q_i) \in T$
- $q_{i-1} = p_i$ for all i = 2, ..., n

It is an accepting run if

• $p_0 \in I$, $q_n \in F$

The set of all runs of \mathcal{A} on w is R_w

For every $\rho \in R_w$: $val(\rho) = true$ if ρ is accepting, otherwise $val(\rho_w) = false$

Let $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$

A run of \mathcal{A} on $w = a_1 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^*$ is $\rho = t_1 \dots t_n$, where:

•
$$t_i = (p_i, a_i, q_i) \in T$$

•
$$q_{i-1} = p_i$$
 for all $i = 2, ..., n$

It is an accepting run if

• $p_0 \in I$, $q_n \in F$

The set of all runs of \mathcal{A} on w is R_w

For every $\rho \in R_w$: $val(\rho) = true$ if ρ is accepting, otherwise $val(\rho_w) = false$

• $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket : \Sigma^* \to \{ \textit{false}, \textit{true} \}$

$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(\mathbf{w}) = \bigvee_{\rho \in \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{w}}} \mathit{val}(\rho)$$

Let $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$

A run of \mathcal{A} on $w = a_1 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^*$ is $\rho = t_1 \dots t_n$, where:

•
$$t_i = (p_i, a_i, q_i) \in T$$

•
$$q_{i-1} = p_i$$
 for all $i = 2, ..., n$

It is an accepting run if

• $p_0 \in I$, $q_n \in F$

The set of all runs of \mathcal{A} on w is R_w

For every $\rho \in R_w$: $val(\rho) = true$ if ρ is accepting, otherwise $val(\rho_w) = false$

•
$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket : \Sigma^* \to \{ \text{false, true} \}$$

 $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket (w) = \bigvee_{\rho \in R_w} val(\rho)$
 $L(\mathcal{A}) = \{ w \mid \llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket (w) = true \}$

Filip Mazowiecki

Automata and sequences

What about $\mathcal{A} : \Sigma^* \to \text{numbers}, \mathbb{N}?, \mathbb{Q}?$ How many *a*'s are there in the word? What is the probability of acceptance?

What about $\mathcal{A} : \Sigma^* \rightarrow$ numbers, \mathbb{N} ?, \mathbb{Q} ? How many *a*'s are there in the word? What is the probability of acceptance?

Add values on transitions



What about $\mathcal{A} : \Sigma^* \rightarrow$ numbers, \mathbb{N} ?, \mathbb{Q} ? How many *a*'s are there in the word? What is the probability of acceptance?

Add values on transitions



What about $\mathcal{A} : \Sigma^* \rightarrow$ numbers, \mathbb{N} ?, \mathbb{Q} ? How many *a*'s are there in the word? What is the probability of acceptance?

Add values on transitions

$$p \xrightarrow{a, 5} q$$

To discuss what numbers first we will describe the semiring structure in the following slides

Commutative semirings

 $\mathbb{S}(\oplus,\odot,\mathbb{O},\mathbb{1})~$ a set \mathbb{S} with two operations and axioms

Commutative semirings

 $\mathbb{S}(\oplus,\odot,\mathbb{0},\mathbb{1})~$ a set \mathbb{S} with two operations and axioms

- 1. (\mathbb{S},\oplus) is a commutative monoid with identity \mathbb{O}
- $(a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c)$
- $\mathbb{O} \oplus a = a \oplus \mathbb{O} = a$
- $a \oplus b = b \oplus a$
- 2. (\mathbb{S},\odot) is a commutative monoid with identity $\mathbbm{1}$
- $(a \odot b) \odot c = a \odot (b \odot c)$
- $\mathbb{1} \odot a = a \odot \mathbb{1} = a$
- $a \odot b = b \odot a$
- 3. Distributivity
- $a \odot (b \oplus c) = a \odot b \oplus a \odot c$
- 4. Annihilation
- $\mathbb{O} \odot a = a \odot \mathbb{O} = \mathbb{O}$

- Rings like $(\mathbb{Q},+,\cdot,0,1)$
- Natural numbers $(\mathbb{N},+,\cdot,0,1)$

- Rings like $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$
- Natural numbers $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$

Remark

```
(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1) is not a ring because -1 \notin \mathbb{N}.
```

- Rings like $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$
- Natural numbers $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$

Remark

$$(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$$
 is not a ring because $-1 \notin \mathbb{N}$.

Tropical semirings

- $(\mathbb{N}_{+\infty}, \min, +, \infty, 0)$, where $\mathbb{N}_{+\infty} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$
- $(\mathbb{N}_{-\infty}, \max, +, -\infty, 0)$, where $\mathbb{N}_{+\infty} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\}$

- Rings like $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$
- Natural numbers $(\mathbb{N},+,\cdot,0,1)$

Remark

$$(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$$
 is not a ring because $-1 \notin \mathbb{N}$.

Tropical semirings

- $(\mathbb{N}_{+\infty}, \min, +, \infty, 0)$, where $\mathbb{N}_{+\infty} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$
- $(\mathbb{N}_{-\infty}, \max, +, -\infty, 0)$, where $\mathbb{N}_{+\infty} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{-\infty\}$

Note: $\oplus = \min$, $\odot = +$, $0 = +\infty$, 1 = 0Axioms work:

 $n \oplus \mathbb{O} = n$ becomes $\min(n, +\infty) = n$ $n \odot \mathbb{1} = n$ becomes n + 0 = n

Weighted automata

Definition

A weighted automaton over a semiring $\mathbb{S}(\oplus, \odot, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{1})$ is $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$:

- Q is a finite set of states
- Σ is a finite alphabet
- $T \subseteq Q \times \Sigma \times \mathbb{S} \times Q$ is a finite set of transitions
- $I, F : Q \rightarrow S$ are are the initial and final functions

Weighted automata

Definition

A weighted automaton over a semiring $\mathbb{S}(\oplus, \odot, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{1})$ is $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$:

- Q is a finite set of states
- $\bullet~\Sigma$ is a finite alphabet
- $T \subseteq Q \times \Sigma \times \mathbb{S} \times Q$ is a finite set of transitions
- $I, F : Q \rightarrow S$ are are the initial and final functions

Remark

For every $p, q \in Q$ and $a \in \Sigma$ we assume there is at most one $(p, a, s, q) \in T$

Weighted automata

Definition

A weighted automaton over a semiring $\mathbb{S}(\oplus, \odot, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{1})$ is $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$:

- Q is a finite set of states
- Σ is a finite alphabet
- $T \subseteq Q \times \Sigma \times \mathbb{S} \times Q$ is a finite set of transitions
- $I, F : Q \rightarrow \mathbb{S}$ are are the initial and final functions

Remark

For every $p, q \in Q$ and $a \in \Sigma$ we assume there is at most one $(p, a, s, q) \in T$

So ignoring S in T and identifying I (and F) with $I' = \{q \mid I(q) \neq 0\}$ we get a finite automaton

Given $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$ over $\mathbb{S}(\oplus, \odot, \mathbb{0}, \mathbb{1})$

A run of \mathcal{A} on $w = a_1 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^*$ is $\rho = t_1 \dots t_n$, where:

•
$$t_i = (p_i, a_i, s_i, q_i) \in T$$

• $q_{i-1} = p_i$ for all i = 2, ..., n

Given $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$ over $\mathbb{S}(\oplus, \odot, \mathbb{0}, \mathbb{1})$

A run of \mathcal{A} on $w = a_1 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^*$ is $\rho = t_1 \dots t_n$, where:

- $t_i = (p_i, a_i, s_i, q_i) \in T$
- $q_{i-1} = p_i$ for all i = 2, ..., n

The set of all run of \mathcal{A} on w is R_w

For $t = (p, a, s, q) \in T$ we write val(t) = s.

Given $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$ over $\mathbb{S}(\oplus, \odot, 0, \mathbb{1})$

A run of \mathcal{A} on $w = a_1 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^*$ is $\rho = t_1 \dots t_n$, where:

- $t_i = (p_i, a_i, s_i, q_i) \in T$
- $q_{i-1} = p_i$ for all i = 2, ..., n

The set of all run of \mathcal{A} on w is R_w

For
$$t = (p, a, s, q) \in T$$
 we write $val(t) = s$.

For every
$$\rho = t_1 \dots t_n \in R_w$$
: $val(\rho) = I(p_0) \odot \bigodot_{i=1}^n val(t_i) \odot F(q_n)$

Given $\mathcal{A} = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$ over $\mathbb{S}(\oplus, \odot, \mathbb{0}, \mathbb{1})$

A run of \mathcal{A} on $w = a_1 \dots a_n \in \Sigma^*$ is $\rho = t_1 \dots t_n$, where:

- $t_i = (p_i, a_i, s_i, q_i) \in T$
- $q_{i-1} = p_i$ for all i = 2, ..., n

The set of all run of \mathcal{A} on w is R_w

For
$$t = (p, a, s, q) \in T$$
 we write $val(t) = s$.

For every
$$\rho = t_1 \dots t_n \in R_w$$
: $val(\rho) = l(p_0) \odot \bigodot_{i=1}^n val(t_i) \odot F(q_n)$

Then
$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket (w) = \bigoplus_{\rho \in R_w} val(\rho)$$
 $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket (\epsilon) = \bigoplus_{q \in Q} l(q) \odot F(q)$

Fix the semiring $(\mathbb{N}_{-\infty}, \max, +, -\infty, \mathbf{0})$

Fix the semiring $(\mathbb{N}_{-\infty}, \max, +, -\infty, \mathbf{0})$

• Longest block of *b*'s



Fix the semiring $(\mathbb{N}_{-\infty}, \max, +, -\infty, \mathbf{0})$

• Longest block of b's



 $T = \{(p, a, 0, p), (p, b, 0, p), (p, b, 1, q), (q, b, 1, q), (q, a, 0, r), \ldots\}$

Fix the semiring $(\mathbb{N}_{-\infty}, \max, +, -\infty, 0)$

• Longest block of b's



 $T = \{(p, a, 0, p), (p, b, 0, p), (p, b, 1, q), (q, b, 1, q), (q, a, 0, r), \ldots\}$

Remark

Usually $I, F : Q \to \{0, 1\} = \{+\infty, 0\}$. Then initial state means the value of I is 1 and 0 otherwise. Here, I(p) = 0, $I(q) = +\infty$ and $I(r) = +\infty$. Similarly with accepting states and F.

Fix the semiring $(\mathbb{N}_{-\infty}, \max, +, -\infty, \mathbf{0})$

• Longest block of *b*'s



Fix the semiring $(\mathbb{N}_{-\infty}, \max, +, -\infty, \mathbf{0})$

• Longest block of b's



• Let w = bbab

All runs starting in q or r have value $-\infty + \ldots + = -\infty$ All runs ending in p have value $\ldots + (-\infty) = -\infty$

Fix the semiring $(\mathbb{N}_{-\infty}, \max, +, -\infty, 0)$

• Longest block of b's



• Let w = bbab

All runs starting in q or r have value $-\infty + \ldots + = -\infty$ All runs ending in p have value $\ldots + (-\infty) = -\infty$

There are three other runs (skipping 0's from I and F) 1+1+0+0=2, 0+1+0+0=1, 0+0+0+1=2

Fix the semiring $(\mathbb{N}_{-\infty}, \max, +, -\infty, 0)$

• Longest block of b's



• Let w = bbab

All runs starting in q or r have value $-\infty + \ldots + = -\infty$ All runs ending in p have value $\ldots + (-\infty) = -\infty$

There are three other runs (skipping 0's from *I* and *F*) 1 + 1 + 0 + 0 = 2, 0 + 1 + 0 + 0 = 1, 0 + 0 + 0 + 1 = 2 $[A] (bbab) = \max\{2, 1, 1, -\infty\} = 2$

Fix the semiring $(\mathbb{N}_{-\infty}, \mathsf{max}, +, -\infty, \mathbf{0})$

• Maximum of number of *a*'s and number of *b*'s



Fix the semiring $(\mathbb{N}_{-\infty},\mathsf{max},+,-\infty,\mathbf{0})$

• Maximum of number of *a*'s and number of *b*'s



There are always two runs. Consider bbab

0 + 0 + 1 + 0 = 1 and 1 + 1 + 0 + 1 = 3

Output: max $\{1,3\} = 3$

Change the semiring to $(\mathbb{Q},+,\cdot,0,1)$

Change the semiring to $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$



There are always two runs. Consider bbab

 $0\cdot 0\cdot 1\cdot 0=0$ and $1\cdot 1\cdot 0\cdot 1=0$

Output: 0 + 0 = 0

Change the semiring to $(\mathbb{Q},+,\cdot,\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})$



Change the semiring to $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$



There are always two runs. Consider bbab

 $1 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = 2$ and $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 = 8$

Output: 2 + 8 = 10

Change the semiring to $(\mathbb{Q},+,\cdot,0,1)$

This is $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(w) = 2^{\#_a(w)} + 2^{\#_b(w)}$



There are always two runs. Consider bbab

 $1 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 = 2$ and $2 \cdot 2 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 = 8$

Output: 2 + 8 = 10

Change the semiring to $(\mathbb{Q},+,\cdot,0,1)$

This is $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(w) = 2^{\#_a(w)} + 2^{\#_b(w)}$



There are always two runs. Consider bbab

 $1\cdot 1\cdot 2\cdot 1=2$ and $2\cdot 2\cdot 1\cdot 2=8$

Output: 2 + 8 = 10

It is important to write the semiring of the weighted automaton

Consider the semiring $(\{0,1\}, \lor, \land, 0, 1)$ (0 is false, 1 is true)

Consider the semiring $(\{0,1\}, \lor, \land, 0, 1)$ (0 is false, 1 is true)

• Definitions as expected

 $0 \lor 1 = 1, \quad 0 \land 1 = 0 \text{ etc.} . .$

It is a semiring

Consider the semiring $(\{0,1\}, \lor, \land, 0, 1)$ (0 is false, 1 is true)

• Definitions as expected

 $0 \lor 1 = 1, \quad 0 \land 1 = 0 \text{ etc.} . .$

It is a semiring

• Weighted automata over this semiring are finite automata Initial, final states are states such that I(q) = 1 and F(q) = 1Transitions in finite automata are transitions such that val(t) = 1

Consider the semiring $(\{0,1\}, \lor, \land, 0, 1)$ (0 is false, 1 is true)

• Definitions as expected

 $0 \lor 1 = 1, \quad 0 \land 1 = 0 \text{ etc.} . .$

It is a semiring

 Weighted automata over this semiring are finite automata Initial, final states are states such that I(q) = 1 and F(q) = 1 Transitions in finite automata are transitions such that val(t) = 1

Then $val(\rho) = 1 \land 1 \land 1 \ldots \land 1 = 1$ if ρ is accepting and $val(\rho) = 0$ otherwise

Consider the semiring $(\{0,1\}, \lor, \land, 0, 1)$ (0 is false, 1 is true)

• Definitions as expected

 $0 \lor 1 = 1, \quad 0 \land 1 = 0 \text{ etc.} . .$

It is a semiring

• Weighted automata over this semiring are finite automata Initial, final states are states such that I(q) = 1 and F(q) = 1Transitions in finite automata are transitions such that val(t) = 1

Then $val(\rho) = 1 \land 1 \land 1 \ldots \land 1 = 1$ if ρ is accepting and $val(\rho) = 0$ otherwise

The output is

$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(\mathbf{w}) = \bigvee \mathsf{val}(\rho)$$

Filip Mazowiecki

Automata and sequences

Weighted automata different definition

Definition

A weighted automaton \mathcal{A} over $\mathbb{S}(\oplus, \odot, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{1})$ is $(d, \Sigma, \{M_a\}_{a \in \Sigma}, I, F)$, where:

- $d \in \mathbb{N}$ is the dimension;
- Σ is a finite alphabet;
- every M_a is a $d \times d$ matrix over \mathbb{S} ;
- I and F are the initial and the final vector in \mathbb{S}^d .

Weighted automata different definition

Definition

A weighted automaton \mathcal{A} over $\mathbb{S}(\oplus, \odot, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{1})$ is $(d, \Sigma, \{M_a\}_{a \in \Sigma}, I, F)$, where:

- $d \in \mathbb{N}$ is the dimension;
- Σ is a finite alphabet;
- every M_a is a $d \times d$ matrix over \mathbb{S} ;
- I and F are the initial and the final vector in \mathbb{S}^d .

$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket : \Sigma^* \to \mathbb{Q}$$
$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket (a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = I^{\mathsf{T}} \odot M_{a_1} M_{a_2} \dots M_{a_n} \odot F$$

Weighted automata different definition

Definition

A weighted automaton \mathcal{A} over $\mathbb{S}(\oplus, \odot, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{1})$ is $(d, \Sigma, \{M_a\}_{a \in \Sigma}, I, F)$, where:

- $d \in \mathbb{N}$ is the dimension;
- Σ is a finite alphabet;
- every M_a is a $d \times d$ matrix over \mathbb{S} ;
- I and F are the initial and the final vector in \mathbb{S}^d .

$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket : \Sigma^* \to \mathbb{Q}$$
$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket (a_1 a_2 \dots a_n) = I^{\mathsf{T}} \odot M_{a_1} M_{a_2} \dots M_{a_n} \odot F$$

Remark

It makes sense to multiply matrices over any semiring. Over $\mathbb{N}(\max, +)$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\infty \\ -\infty & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\infty \\ -\infty & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \max(0+1, -\infty + -\infty) & \max(0+-\infty, -\infty + 0) \\ \max(-\infty + 1, 1 + -\infty) & \max(1+0, -\infty + -\infty) \end{pmatrix}$$

Filip Mazowiecki Automata and sequences

Set $\mathcal{A} = (d, \Sigma, \{M_a\}_{a \in \Sigma}, I, F)$ over $\mathbb{S}(\oplus, \odot, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{1})$:

Set $\mathcal{A} = (d, \Sigma, \{M_a\}_{a \in \Sigma}, I, F)$ over $\mathbb{S}(\oplus, \odot, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{1})$:

• We define $\mathcal{A}' = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$

 $Q = \{1, \ldots, d\}$

Vectors $F, I \in \mathbb{S}^d$ are the same thing as a functions $F, I : Q \to \mathbb{S}$

Set
$$\mathcal{A} = (d, \Sigma, \{M_a\}_{a \in \Sigma}, I, F)$$
 over $\mathbb{S}(\oplus, \odot, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{1})$:

• We define $\mathcal{A}' = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$

 $Q = \{1, \ldots, d\}$

Vectors $F, I \in \mathbb{S}^d$ are the same thing as a functions $F, I : Q \to \mathbb{S}$

$$T = \{(p, a, s, q) \mid p, q \in Q, a \in \Sigma, s = M_a[p, q]\}$$

Set
$$\mathcal{A} = (d, \Sigma, \{M_a\}_{a \in \Sigma}, I, F)$$
 over $\mathbb{S}(\oplus, \odot, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{1})$:

• We define $\mathcal{A}' = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$

 $Q = \{1, \ldots, d\}$

Vectors $F, I \in \mathbb{S}^d$ are the same thing as a functions $F, I : Q \to \mathbb{S}$

$$T = \{ (p, a, s, q) \mid p, q \in Q, a \in \Sigma, s = M_a[p, q] \}$$

Theorem

 $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket (w) = \llbracket \mathcal{A}' \rrbracket (w) \text{ for all } w \in \Sigma^*.$

Set
$$\mathcal{A} = (d, \Sigma, \{M_a\}_{a \in \Sigma}, I, F)$$
 over $\mathbb{S}(\oplus, \odot, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{1})$:

• We define $\mathcal{A}' = (Q, \Sigma, T, I, F)$

 $Q = \{1, \ldots, d\}$

Vectors $F, I \in \mathbb{S}^d$ are the same thing as a functions $F, I : Q \to \mathbb{S}$

$$T = \{(p, a, s, q) \mid p, q \in Q, a \in \Sigma, s = M_a[p, q]\}$$

Theorem

$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket (w) = \llbracket \mathcal{A}' \rrbracket (w) \text{ for all } w \in \Sigma^*.$$

Proof.

$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket (\epsilon) = I^{\mathsf{T}} \odot \mathcal{F}, \qquad \llbracket \mathcal{A}' \rrbracket (\epsilon) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{d} I(i) \odot \mathcal{F}(i)$$

Definition

 $R_w^{p,q}$ is the set of runs in \mathcal{A}' from state p to state q over wFor every $\rho = t_1 \dots t_n$ we denote by $trans(\rho) = val(t_1) \odot \dots \odot val(t_n)$ $(val(\rho) \text{ ignoring } I \text{ and } F)$

Definition

 $R_w^{p,q}$ is the set of runs in \mathcal{A}' from state p to state q over wFor every $\rho = t_1 \dots t_n$ we denote by $trans(\rho) = val(t_1) \odot \dots \odot val(t_n)$ $(val(\rho) \text{ ignoring } I \text{ and } F)$

Lemma

$$M_{a_1}\ldots M_{a_n}[p,q] = \bigoplus_{
ho \in R^{p,q}_w} trans(
ho)$$

Definition

 $R_w^{p,q}$ is the set of runs in \mathcal{A}' from state p to state q over wFor every $\rho = t_1 \dots t_n$ we denote by $trans(\rho) = val(t_1) \odot \dots \odot val(t_n)$ $(val(\rho) \text{ ignoring } I \text{ and } F)$

Lemma

$$M_{a_1}\ldots M_{a_n}[p,q] = \bigoplus_{\rho\in R^{p,q}_w} trans(\rho)$$

Proof of Lemma (by induction on |w|).

if |w| = 1 then there is only one run from p to qthe transition from p to q whose values is M[p, q] by definition

Definition

 $R_w^{p,q}$ is the set of runs in \mathcal{A}' from state p to state q over wFor every $\rho = t_1 \dots t_n$ we denote by $trans(\rho) = val(t_1) \odot \dots \odot val(t_n)$ $(val(\rho) \text{ ignoring } I \text{ and } F)$

Lemma

$$M_{a_1}\ldots M_{a_n}[p,q] = \bigoplus_{\rho\in R^{p,q}_w} trans(
ho)$$

Proof of Lemma (by induction on |w|).

if |w| = 1 then there is only one run from p to qthe transition from p to q whose values is M[p,q] by definition

if
$$|w|>1$$
 then write $w=av$ for $v\in\Sigma^+$ and $a\in\Sigma$

Notation $t_i = (p_i, a_i, s_i, q_i) \in T$, where $q_i = p_{i+1}$ Then $R_w^{p,q} = \{t_1 t_2 \dots t_n \mid p_1 = p, t_2 \dots t_n \in R_v^{p_2,q}\}$

Notation $t_i = (p_i, a_i, s_i, q_i) \in T$, where $q_i = p_{i+1}$ Then $R_w^{p,q} = \{t_1 t_2 \dots t_n \mid p_1 = p, t_2 \dots t_n \in R_v^{p_2,q}\}$

So
$$\bigoplus_{\rho \in R_w^{p,q}} trans(\rho) = \bigoplus_{q_1 = p_2 \in Q} M_{a_1}[p_1, q_1] \odot \bigoplus_{\rho' \in R_v^{p_2,q}} trans(\rho')$$

Notation $t_i = (p_i, a_i, s_i, q_i) \in T$, where $q_i = p_{i+1}$ Then $R_w^{p,q} = \{t_1 t_2 \dots t_n \mid p_1 = p, t_2 \dots t_n \in R_v^{p_2,q}\}$

So
$$\bigoplus_{\rho \in R_w^{p,q}} trans(\rho) = \bigoplus_{q_1 = p_2 \in Q} M_{a_1}[p_1, q_1] \odot \bigoplus_{\rho' \in R_v^{p_2,q}} trans(\rho')$$

By induction = $\bigoplus_{q_1=p_2\in Q} M_{a_1}[p_1, q_1] \odot M_{a_2} \ldots M_{a_n}[p_2, q]$

Notation
$$t_i = (p_i, a_i, s_i, q_i) \in T$$
, where $q_i = p_{i+1}$
Then $R_w^{p,q} = \{t_1 t_2 \dots t_n \mid p_1 = p, t_2 \dots t_n \in R_v^{p_2,q}\}$
So $\bigoplus_{\rho \in R_w^{p,q}} trans(\rho) = \bigoplus_{q_1 = p_2 \in Q} M_{a_1}[p_1, q_1] \odot \bigoplus_{\rho' \in R_v^{p_2,q}} trans(\rho')$
By induction $= \bigoplus_{q_1 = p_2 \in Q} M_{a_1}[p_1, q_1] \odot M_{a_2} \dots M_{a_n}[p_2, q]$

The lemma follows from the definition of matrix multiplication

For any matrices A, B in dimensions d we have

$$AB[p,q] = \bigoplus_{i \in \{1,\dots,d\}} A[p,i]B[i,q]$$

We proved
$$M_{a_1} \dots M_{a_n}[p, q] = \bigoplus_{\rho \in R_w^{p,q}} trans(\rho)$$

We proved $M_{a_1} \dots M_{a_n}[p,q] = \bigoplus_{\rho \in R^{p,q}_w} trans(\rho)$

But $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket (w) = I^{\mathsf{T}} \odot M_{a_1} \ldots M_{a_n} \odot F = \bigoplus_{p,q \in Q} I(p) \odot M_{a_1} \ldots M_{a_n} [p,q] \odot F(q)$

We proved $M_{a_1} \dots M_{a_n}[p,q] = \bigoplus_{\rho \in R^{p,q}_w} trans(\rho)$

But
$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket (w) = I^{\mathsf{T}} \odot M_{a_1} \ldots M_{a_n} \odot F = \bigoplus_{p,q \in Q} I(p) \odot M_{a_1} \ldots M_{a_n} [p,q] \odot F(q)$$

By lemma = $\bigoplus_{p,q \in Q} \bigoplus_{\rho \in R_w^{p,q}} I(p) \odot trans(\rho) \odot F(q)$

We proved $M_{a_1} \dots M_{a_n}[p,q] = \bigoplus_{\rho \in R^{p,q}_w} trans(\rho)$

But
$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket (w) = I^{\mathsf{T}} \odot M_{a_1} \ldots M_{a_n} \odot F = \bigoplus_{p,q \in Q} I(p) \odot M_{a_1} \ldots M_{a_n} [p,q] \odot F(q)$$

By lemma = $\bigoplus_{p,q \in Q} \bigoplus_{\rho \in R_w^{p,q}} I(p) \odot trans(\rho) \odot F(q)$

Which is equal to $\bigoplus_{\rho \in R_{W}} val(\rho)$

We proved $M_{a_1} \dots M_{a_n}[p,q] = \bigoplus_{\rho \in R^{p,q}_w} trans(\rho)$

But
$$\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket (w) = I^{\mathsf{T}} \odot M_{a_1} \ldots M_{a_n} \odot F = \bigoplus_{p,q \in Q} I(p) \odot M_{a_1} \ldots M_{a_n} [p,q] \odot F(q)$$

By lemma = $\bigoplus_{p,q \in Q} \bigoplus_{\rho \in R_w^{p,q}} I(p) \odot trans(\rho) \odot F(q)$

Which is equal to $\bigoplus_{\rho \in R_w} val(\rho)$

The opposite translation on tutorials