

Tutorials 4

Filip Mazowiecki, Toghrul Karimov

May 22, 2020

1 Exercise

This is more or less the lemma left to prove in Lecture 3.

- Define a family of finitely ambiguous automata \mathcal{A}_n with the set of states $|Q| = n$ such that the ambiguity of the automaton is exponential in n .
- Show that if an automaton is finitely ambiguous then it is k -ambiguous for $k = 2^{\mathcal{O}(|Q|)}$.

2 Exercise

Recall the two criteria.

- \mathcal{A} is not finitely ambiguous if and only if there are two states $p \neq q \in Q$ and a word w s.t. $p \xrightarrow{w} p$, $p \xrightarrow{w} q$ and $q \xrightarrow{w} q$.
- \mathcal{A} is not polynomially ambiguous if and only if there is a state $p \in Q$ and a word w s.t. there are two runs $p \xrightarrow{w} p$.

Prove that both criteria remain true if we assume that the length of w is bounded by $p(|Q|)$ for some polynomial p .

Prove that this implies that given an automaton \mathcal{A} both decision problems: if \mathcal{A} is finitely ambiguous, and if \mathcal{A} is polynomially ambiguous are in NLOGSPACE.

3 Exercise

Let \mathcal{A} be an unambiguous weighted automaton over $(\mathbb{N}_{-\infty}, \max, +, -\infty, 0)$ such that $\llbracket \mathcal{A} \rrbracket(w) \neq -\infty$ for every word w . Prove that there is a weighted automaton \mathcal{B} over $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ such that $\mathcal{A}(w) = \mathcal{B}(w)$.

Note: this is a generalisation of Exercise 4 from Tutorials 2.